arXiv:0902.3125v1 [math-ph] 18 Feb 2009

THE ERMAKOV-LEWIS INVARIANTS OF THE GROSS-PITAEVSKII EQUATION

José Maria Filardo Bassalo

Fundação Minerva

Paulo de Tarso Santos Alencar

Professor Aposentado da UFPA

Daniel Gemaque da Silva

Professor de Ensino Médio - Amapá

Antonio Boulhosa Nassar

Extension Program-Department of Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles,
California 90024

M. Cattani

Instituto de Física da USP, 05389-970, São Paulo, SP

ABSTRACT: In this work we study the Ermakov-Lewis invariants of the non-linear Gross-Pitaeviskii equation.

PACS 03.65 - Quantum Mechanics

1. **Introduction**

Many years ago, in 1967[1], H. R. Lewis has shown that there is a conserved quantity, that will be indicated by I, associated with the time dependent harmonic oscillator (TDHO) with frequency $\omega(t)$, given by:

$$I \; = \; \tfrac{1}{2}[(\dot{q}\;\alpha \; - \; \dot{\alpha}\;q)^2 \; + \; (\tfrac{q}{\alpha})^2] \; , \qquad (1.1)$$

where q and α obey, respectively the equations:

$$\ddot{q} \; + \; \omega^2(t)\;q \; = \; 0 \; , \qquad \ddot{\alpha} \; + \; \omega^2(t)\;\alpha \; = \; \tfrac{1}{\alpha^3} \; . \qquad (1.2,3)$$

On the other hand, as the above expressions have also been obtained by V. P. Ermakov [2] in 1880, the invariants determination of time dependent physical systems is also known as the **Ermakov-Lewis problem**. So, considerable efforts have been devoted to solve this problem and its generalizations, in the last thirty years, and in many works have been published on these subjects [3,4].

In the present work we investigate the existence of these invariants for the one-dimensional non-linear Gross-Pitaevskii equation with the potencial $V(x,\ t)$ given by:

$$V(x,\ t)\ =\ \tfrac{1}{2}\, m\, \omega^2(t)\, x^2\ , \qquad (1.4)$$

which is the time dependent harmonic oscillator potential.

2. **Gross-Pitaeviskii Equation**

Em 1961[5,6], E. P. Gross and, independently, L. P. Pitaevskii proposed a non-linear Schrödinger equation to represent time dependent physical systems, given by:

$$\mathrm{i}\, \hbar\, \frac{\partial \psi(x,\ t)}{\partial t}\ =\ -\ \frac{\hbar^2}{2\ m}\, \frac{\partial^2\ \psi(x,\ t)}{\partial x^2}\ +\ \tfrac{1}{2}\, m\, \omega^2(t)\, x^2\, \psi(x,\ t)\ +\ g|\ \psi(x,\ t)\ |^3\ , \qquad (2.1)$$

where $\psi(x,\ t)$ is a wavefunction and g is a constant. constante.

Writing the wavefuncition $\psi(x,\ t)$ in the polar form, defined by the Madelung-Bohm transformation[7,8], we get:

$$\psi(x,\ t)\ =\ \phi(x,\ t)\, e^{i\ S(x,\ t)}\ , \qquad (2.2)$$

where $S(x\ ,t)$ is the classical action and $\phi(x,\ t)$ will be defined in what follows.

Substituting Eq.(2.2) into Eq.(2.1) and taking the real and imaginary parts of the resulting equation, we get[9]:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\ +\ \frac{\partial(\rho\ v_{qu})}{\partial x}\ =\ 0\ , \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_{qu}}{\partial t}\ +\ v_{qu}\, \frac{\partial v_{qu}}{\partial x}\ +\ \omega^2(t)\, x\ =\ -\ \frac{1}{m}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\, (V_{qu}\ +\ V_{GP})\ , \qquad (2.4)$$

where:

$$\rho(x,\ t)\ =\ \phi^2(x,\ t)\ , \qquad (2.5) \quad \text{(quantum mass density)}$$

$$v_{qu}(x,\ t)\ =\ \frac{\hbar}{m}\, \frac{\partial S(x,\ t)}{\partial x}\ , \qquad (2.6) \quad \text{(quantum velocity)}$$

$$V_{qu}(x,\ t)\ =\ -\ \frac{\hbar^2}{2\ m}\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\, \frac{\partial^2 \sqrt{\rho}}{\partial x^2}\ , \qquad (2.7) \quad \text{(Bohm quantum potential)}$$

and

$$V_{GP} \;=\; \frac{g}{m}\,\rho \;. \qquad (2.8) \qquad \text{(Gross-Pitaevskii potential)}$$

In order to integrate Eq.(2.4) let us assume that the expected value of **quantum force** is equal to zero for all times t, that is,

$$<\; \frac{\partial V_{qu}}{\partial x} \;> \quad \to\; 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\partial V_{qu}}{\partial x}\;|_{x \;=\; q(t)} \;, \qquad <x> \;=\; q(t) \;. \qquad (2.9a\text{-}c)$$

In this way, we can write Eq.(2.4) into two parts:

$$\frac{\partial v_{qu}}{\partial t} \;+\; v_{qu}\,\frac{\partial v_{qu}}{\partial x} \;+\; \omega^2\, x \;=\; k(t)\;[x \;-\; q(t)] \;, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$-\,\frac{1}{m}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\,(V_{qu} \;+\; V_{GP}) \;=\; \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\,(\frac{\hbar^2}{2\;m^2}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\,\frac{\partial^2\sqrt{\rho}}{\partial x^2} \;-\; \frac{g}{m}\,\rho) \;=\; k(t)\;[x \;-\; q(t)] \;. \qquad (2.11)$$

Performing the differentiations indicated in Eq.(2.11) we get,

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{4\;m^2}\,[\frac{1}{\rho}\,\frac{\partial^3\rho}{\partial x^3} \;-\; \frac{2}{\rho^2}\,\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x}\,\frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial x^2} \;+\; \frac{1}{\rho^3}\,(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x})^3] \;+\; \frac{g}{m}\,\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x} \;=\; k(t)\;[x \;-\; q(t)] \;. \qquad (2.12)$$

To integrate Eq.(2.12) it is necessary to known the initial condition for $\rho(x,\;t)$. Let us assume that for $t \;=\; 0$ the physical system is represented by a normalized Gaussian wave packet, centered at $q(0)$, that is,

$$\rho(x,\;0) \;=\; [\pi\;\sigma(0)]^{-\;1/2}\;e^{-\;\frac{[x\;-\;q(0)]^2}{\sigma(0)}} \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}\;e^{-\;\frac{B^2}{C}} \;, \qquad (2.13)$$

$$A \;=\; \pi\;\sigma(0) \;, \qquad B \;=\; x \;-\; q(0) \;, \qquad C \;=\; \sigma(0) \;. \qquad (2.14\text{-}16)$$

Since Eq.(2.13) is a particular solution of Eq.(2.12), we must have:

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{4\;m^2}\,[\frac{1}{\rho(x,\;0)}\,\frac{\partial^3\rho(x,\;0)}{\partial x^3} \;-\; \frac{2}{\rho^2(x,\;0)}\,\frac{\partial\rho(x,\;0)}{\partial x}\,\frac{\partial^2\rho(x,\;0)}{\partial x^2} \;+\; \frac{1}{\rho(x,\;0)^3}\,(\frac{\partial\rho(x,\;0)}{\partial x})^3] \;+\; \frac{g}{m}\,\frac{\partial\rho(x,\;0)}{\partial x} \;=$$

$$=\; k(t)\;[x \;-\; q(t)] \;. \qquad (2.17)$$

Performing the differentiation indicated above, Eq.(2.17) becomes:

$$[\frac{\hbar^2}{m^2\;\sigma^2(0)} \;-\; \frac{2\;g}{\sigma(0)\;m\;\sqrt{\pi\;\sigma(0)}}]\;[x \;-\; q(0)] \;=\; k(0)\;[x \;-q(0)] \quad \to$$

$$k(0) \;=\; [\frac{\hbar^2}{m^2\;\sigma^2(0)} \;-\; \frac{2\;g}{\sigma(0)\;m\;\sqrt{\pi\;\sigma(0)}}] \;. \qquad (2.18)$$

Comparing Eq.(2.18) with the Eqs.(2.12) and (2.13), by analogy we get,

$$k(t) \; = \; [\frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 \; \sigma^2(t)} \; - \; \frac{2 \; g}{\sigma(t) \; m \; \sqrt{\pi \; \sigma(t)}}] \; , \qquad (2.19)$$

$$\rho(x, \; t) \; = \; [\pi \; \sigma(t)]^{- \; 1/2} \; e^{- \; \frac{[x \; - \; q(t)]^2}{\sigma(t)}} \; , \qquad (2.20)$$

where,

$$\sigma^2(t) \; = \; \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \; \frac{1}{k(t) \; + \; \frac{2 \; g}{\sigma(t) \; m \; \sqrt{\pi \; \sigma(t)}}} \; . \qquad (2.21)$$

Taking into account Eqs.(2.19-21), let us perform the following differentiations, remembering that t and x are independent variables:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \; = \; - \; \frac{1}{2} \; \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma} \; \rho \; + \; \rho \; [\frac{(x \; - \; q)^2}{\sigma^2} \; \dot{\sigma} \; + \; \frac{2 \; (x \; - \; q)}{\sigma} \; \dot{q} \;] \; , \qquad (2.22)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \; = \; - \; \frac{2 \; (x \; - \; q)}{\sigma} \; \rho \; , \qquad (2.23)$$

¿From Eq.(2.3) and Eqs.(2.22-23), results

$$\frac{\partial v_{qu}}{\partial x} \; - \; \frac{2 \; (x \; - \; q)}{\sigma} \; v_{qu} \; = \; \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \; \sigma} \; - \; \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma^2} \; (x \; - \; q)^2 \; - \; \frac{2 \; (x \; - \; q)}{\sigma} \; \dot{q} \; . \qquad (2.24)$$

Defining

$$p(x, \; t) \; = \; - \; 2 \; \frac{(x \; - \; q)}{\sigma} \; , \qquad (2.25)$$

$$r(x, \; t) \; = \; \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \; \sigma} \; - \; \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma^2} \; (x \; - \; q)^2 \; - \; \frac{2 \; (x \; - \; q)}{\sigma} \; \dot{q} \; , \qquad (2.26)$$

Eq.(2.24) becomes,

$$\frac{\partial v_{qu}}{\partial x} \; + \; p(x, \; t) \; v_{qu} \; = \; r(x, \; t) \; , \qquad (2.27)$$

which can be integrated, giving:

$$v_{qu} \; = \; \frac{1}{u} \; [\int \; r \; u \; \partial x \; + \; c(t)] \; , \qquad (2.28)$$

where,

$$u \; = \; exp \; (\int \; p \; \partial x) \; . \qquad (2.29)$$

Using Eqs.(2.20,28,29), the function u given by Eq.(2.29) is written us[9]:

$$u \; = \; exp \; \Big(\; \int \; [- \; \frac{2 \; (x \; - \; q)}{\sigma}] \; \partial x \; \Big) \; = \; (\pi \; \sigma)^{1/2} \; \rho \; . \qquad (2.30)$$

In this way, defining $I \ = \ r \ u \ x$, and using Eqs.(2.26,30) we obtain:

$$I \ = \ \int r \ u \ \partial x \ =$$

$$= \ \int \left[\tfrac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ - \ \tfrac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma^2} \ (x \ - \ q)^2 \ - \ \tfrac{2 \ (x \ - \ q)}{\sigma} \ \dot{q}\right] \ (\pi \ \sigma)^{1/2} \ \rho \ \partial x \quad \rightarrow$$

$$I \ = \ I_1 \ - \ I_2 \ , \qquad (2.31)$$

where,

$$I_1 \ = \ \int \left[\tfrac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ - \ \tfrac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma^2} \ (x \ - \ q)^2\right] \ (\pi \ \sigma)^{1/2} \ \rho \ \partial x \ , \qquad (2.32)$$

and

$$I_2 \ = \ \int \left[\tfrac{2 \ (x \ - \ q)}{\sigma} \ \dot{q}\right] \ (\pi \ \sigma)^{1/2} \ \rho \ \partial x \ . \qquad (2.33)$$

To integrate Eq.(2.32) it is necessary, first to perform the differentiation[9] shown bellow, where Eq.(2.23) is used:

$$\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\tfrac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ (x - \ q) \ \rho\right] \ = \ \left[\tfrac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ - \ \tfrac{\dot{\sigma} \ (x \ - \ q)^2}{\sigma^2}\right] \ \rho \ . \qquad (2.34)$$

Inserting Eq.(2.34) into Eq.(2.32), results

$$I_1 \ = \ (\pi \ \sigma)^{1/2} \ \rho \ \left(\tfrac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma}\right) \ (x \ - \ q) \ . \qquad (2.35)$$

Similarly, to calculate I_2, seen in Eq.(2.33), we need to use Eq.(2.23) obtaining,

$$I_2 \ = \ - \ (\pi \ \sigma)^{1/2} \ \dot{q} \ \rho \ . \qquad (2.36)$$

Substituting Eqs.(2.35-36) into Eq.(2.31) we see that, teremos:

$$I \ = \ (\pi \ \sigma)^{1/2} \ \rho \ \left[\tfrac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ (x \ - \ q) \ + \ \dot{q}\right] \ . \qquad (2.37)$$

Remembering that the quantum velocity v_{qu} is defined by Eq.(2.28) and using Eqs.(2.30,37) we verify that v_{qu} can be written as:

$$v_{qu} \ = \ \tfrac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ (x \ - \ q) \ + \ \dot{q} \ + \ \tfrac{c(t)}{(\pi \ \sigma)^{1/2} \ \rho} \ . \qquad (2.38)$$

Assuming that the mass density $\rho \rightarrow 0$ when $\mid x \mid \rightarrow \infty$ we verify that the parameter $c(t)$ must be equal to zero. Consequently, v_{qu} becomes,

$$v_{qu}(x, \ t) \ = \ \tfrac{\dot{\sigma}(t)}{2 \ \sigma(t)} \ [x \ - \ q(t)] \ + \ \dot{q}(t) \ . \qquad (2.39)$$

Using the above Eq.(2.39) we calculate the following differentiations, remembering that t and x as independent variables:

$$\frac{\partial v_{qu}}{\partial t} \ = \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ [\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ (x \ - \ q) \ + \ \dot{q}] \ =$$

$$= \ \frac{\ddot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ (x \ - \ q) \ - \ \frac{(\dot{\sigma})^2}{2 \ \sigma^2} \ (x \ - \ q) \ - \ \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ \dot{q} \ + \ \ddot{q} \ . \qquad (2.40)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_{qu}}{\partial x} \ = \ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ [\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ (x \ - \ q) \ + \ \dot{q}] \ = \ \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ . \qquad (2.41)$$

Now, adding the factor $\omega^2 \ q$ to Eqs.(2.39-41), we see that Eq.(2.10) becomes,

$$\frac{\ddot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ (x \ - \ q) \ - \ \frac{(\dot{\sigma})^2}{2 \ \sigma^2} \ (x \ - \ q) \ - \ \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ \dot{q} \ + \ \ddot{q} \ + \ [\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ (x \ - \ q) \ + \ \dot{q}] \ \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ +$$

$$+ \ \omega^2 \ x \ + \ \omega^2 \ q \ - \ \omega^2 \ q \ = \ (\frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 \ \sigma^2} \ - \ \frac{2 \ g}{m \ \sqrt{\pi \ \sigma^3}}) \ (x \ - \ q) \ \ \to$$

$$[\frac{\ddot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ - \ \frac{(\dot{\sigma})^2}{4 \ \sigma^2} \ + \ \omega^2 \ - \ \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 \ \sigma^2} \ + \ \frac{2 \ g}{m \ \sigma \ \sqrt{\pi \ \sigma}}] \ (x \ - \ q) \ + \ \ddot{q} \ + \ \omega^2 \ q \ = \ 0 \ . \qquad (2.42)$$

To satisfy Eq.(2.42), the following conditions must be obeyed:

$$\frac{\ddot{\sigma}}{2 \ \sigma} \ - \ \frac{(\dot{\sigma})^2}{4 \ \sigma^2} \ + \ \omega^2 \ - \ \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 \ \sigma^2} \ + \ \frac{2 \ g}{m \ \sigma \ \sqrt{\pi \ \sigma}} \ = \ 0 \ , \qquad (2.43)$$

$$\ddot{q} \ + \ \omega^2 \ q \ = \ 0 \ . \qquad (2.44)$$

Putting

$$\sigma \ = \ \frac{\hbar}{m} \ \alpha^2 \ , \qquad (2.45)$$

we obtain,

$$\dot{\sigma} \ = \ \frac{2 \ \hbar}{m} \ \alpha \ \dot{\alpha} \ , \qquad \ddot{\sigma} \ = \ \frac{2 \ \hbar}{m} \ [(\dot{\alpha})^2 \ + \ \alpha \ \ddot{\alpha}] \ . \qquad (2.46\text{-}47)$$

Inserting Eqs.(2.45-47) into Eq.(2.43):

$$\frac{2 \ \hbar}{m} \ \frac{[(\dot{\alpha})^2 \ + \ \alpha \ \ddot{\alpha}]}{\frac{2 \ \hbar}{m} \ \alpha^2} \ - \ \frac{\frac{4 \ \hbar^2}{m^2} \ \alpha^2 \ (\dot{\alpha})^2}{\frac{4 \ \hbar^2}{m^2} \ \alpha^4} \ + \ \frac{2 \ g}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{m}} \ \alpha^3} \ - \ \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 \ \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \ \alpha^4} \ + \ \omega^2 \ = \ 0 \ \ \to$$

$$\ddot{\alpha} \ + \ \omega^2 \ \alpha \ + \ \frac{2 \ g}{\hbar \ \alpha^2 \ \sqrt{\frac{\pi \ \hbar}{m}}} \ = \ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} \ . \qquad (2.48)$$

Note that, although the above Eq.(2.48) is formally identical to that obtained by Ermakov [see Eq.(1.3)], they are different due to the Planck's constant $\hbar$ which appears in Eq.(2.48). This is the same kind of difference found between the classical wave equation (d'Alembertian) and the quantum Schrödinger wave equation.

Finally, eliminating the factor ω^2 into Eqs.(2.44) and (2.48) we get,

$$\ddot{\alpha} \; - \; \frac{\ddot{q}\;\alpha}{q} \; + \; \frac{2\;g}{\hbar\;\alpha^2\;\sqrt{\frac{\pi\;\hbar}{m}}} \; = \; \frac{1}{\alpha^3} \quad \rightarrow \quad \ddot{\alpha}\;q \; - \; \ddot{q}\;\alpha \; + \; \frac{2\;g\;q}{\hbar\;\alpha^2\;\sqrt{\frac{\pi\;\hbar}{m}}} \; = \; \frac{q}{\alpha^3} \quad \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\;(\dot{\alpha}\;q \; - \; \dot{q}\;\alpha) \; = \; \frac{q}{\alpha^3} \; - \; \frac{2\;g\;q}{\hbar\;\alpha^2\;\sqrt{\frac{\pi\;\hbar}{m}}} \quad \rightarrow$$

$$(\dot{\alpha}\;q \; - \; \dot{q}\;\alpha)\;\frac{d}{dt}\;(\dot{\alpha}\;q \; - \; \dot{q}\;\alpha) \; = \; (\frac{q}{\alpha^3} \; - \; \frac{2\;g\;q}{\hbar\;\alpha^2\;\sqrt{\frac{\pi\;\hbar}{m}}})\;(\dot{\alpha}\;q \; - \; \dot{q}\;\alpha) \quad \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\;[\frac{1}{2}\;(\dot{\alpha}\;q \; - \; \dot{q}\;\alpha)^2] \; = \; - \; \frac{q}{\alpha}\;\frac{d}{dt}\;(\frac{q}{\alpha}) \; + \; \frac{2\;g\;q}{\hbar\;\sqrt{\frac{\pi\;\hbar}{m}}}\;\frac{(\dot{q}\;\alpha \; - \; \dot{\alpha}\;q)}{\alpha^2} \quad \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\;[\frac{1}{2}\;(\dot{\alpha}\;q \; - \; \dot{q}\;\alpha)^2 \; + \; \frac{1}{2}\;(\frac{q}{\alpha})^2\;] \; = \; \frac{2\;g\;q}{\hbar\;\sqrt{\frac{\pi\;\hbar}{m}}}\;\frac{d}{dt}\;(\frac{q}{\alpha}) \quad \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} \; = \; \frac{2\;g\;q}{\hbar\;\sqrt{\frac{\pi\;\hbar}{m}}}\;\frac{d}{dt}\;(\frac{q}{\alpha})\;, \qquad (2.49)$$

where,

$$I \; = \; \frac{1}{2}\;[(\dot{\alpha}\;q \; - \; \dot{q}\;\alpha)^2 \; + \; (\frac{q}{\alpha})^2]\;, \qquad (2.50)$$

which represents the **Ermakov-Lewis-Schrödinger invariant** of the time dependent harmonic oscillator ($TDHO$)[9]. In conclusion, we have shown that the **Gross-Pitaesvskii equation** has not an **Ermakov-Lewis invariant** for the $TDHO$.

REFERENCES

1. LEWIS, H. R. 1967. *Physical Review Letters 18*, 510; 636 (E).

2. ERMAKOV, V. P. 1880. *Univ. Izv. Kiev 20*, 1.

3. NASSAR, A. B. 1986. *Journal of Mathematical Physics 27*, 755; 2949, and references therein. 4. —— 1986. *Physical Review A33*, 2134, and references therein.

5. GROSS, E. P. 1961. *Nuovo Cimento 20*, 1766.

6. PITAEVSKII, L. P. 1961. *Soviet Physics (JETP) 13*, 451.

7. MADELUNG, E. 1926. *Zeitschrift für Physik 40*, 322.

8. BOHM, D. 1952. *Physical Review 85*, 166.

9. BASSALO, J. M. F., ALENCAR, P. T. S., CATTANI, M. S. D. e NASSAR, A. B. 2003. **Tópicos da Mecânica Quântica de de Broglie-Bohm**, EDUFPA.